

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILDIC D. m			
ATTLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,426	03/02/2000	Veltmans Wilhelmina Helena Maria	5475.00	4911
75	90 04/25/2002			
Dorsey & Whi	itnev LLP			
1001 Pennsylva	nia Avenue NW		EXAMINER	
Suite 300 South			MILLER, EDWARD A	
Washington, Do	C 20004			
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3641 DATE MAILED: 04/2		3641		
		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ν	pplication N . Applicant(s)		
- Advis ry Action	09/517,426	MARIA ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examin r	Art Unit	\ \ \
	Edward A. Miller	3641	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>15 April 2002</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
NOTE: see next page.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,9-12,14-16,18,20,21,23,25 and	<u>1 26</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-	
10. Other:	, .,. ,		
<u> </u>	·		

Art Unit: 3641

1. The amendment filed 4/15/02 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because:

a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.

b. The proposed amendment is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially simplifying the issues for appeal.

c. The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration. A new issue of indefiniteness is raised by the changes. Previously a limitation, at the end of the preamble of the independent claims, recited that sensitivity "and/or" stability were improved, while with the proposed change, both are required to be improved. This would clearly require reexamination as to prior art. Further, an issue under 35 U.S.C. 112 is raised. The steps of the claims have not been changed, but the results produced are changed. This raises the issue of whether some indefinite, implied change in the method steps is required to obtain the newly recited, further improved results.

2. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163. Examiner Miller may normally be reached Monday-Thursday, from 10 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor Mr. Carone can be reached at (703) 306-4198. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em April 24, 2002

EDWARD A. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINED